

General Topology and its Applications 6 (1976) 319–326  
© North-Holland Publishing Company

## A NOTE ON THE $G_\delta$ -CLOSURE AND THE REALCOMPACTNESS OF $2^X$

John GINSBURG \*

*University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3T 0N2*

Received 16 December 1974

In this note we describe the  $G_\delta$ -closure of  $2^X$  in  $2^{\beta X}$ . Using this description, we obtain the following theorem:  $2^X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $2^{\beta X}$  if and only if  $X$  is Lindelöf. An immediate consequence of this result is the fact that  $2^X$  is realcompact if  $X$  is Lindelöf. Concerning the realcompactness of  $2^X$ , we show that the class of completely regular spaces  $X$ , for which  $2^X$  is realcompact, is closed under continuous-open closed images. In particular, if  $X$  is completely regular and  $2^X$  is realcompact, then any continuous-open-closed completely regular image of  $X$  is realcompact.

AMS Subj. Class.: Primary 54B20; Secondary 54C50, 54D10, 54D60

|                |                     |
|----------------|---------------------|
| hyperspace     | $G_\delta$ -closure |
| Lindelöf space | realcompact space   |

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a completely regular space,<sup>1</sup> and let  $2^X$  denote the space of closed subsets of  $X$  with the finite topology (see [4] and [6] for the fundamental properties of  $2^X$ ). Let  $i: 2^X \rightarrow 2^{\beta X}$  be the canonical mapping defined by  $i(F) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} F$ . Then  $i$  is a continuous map from  $2^X$  onto a dense subspace of  $2^{\beta X}$ , and, as is demonstrated in [3],  $i$  is an embedding if and only if  $X$  is normal. Thus, if  $X$  is normal,  $2^{\beta X}$  is a compactification of  $2^X$ . In this note we describe the  $G_\delta$ -closure of  $2^X$  in  $2^{\beta X}$ , and establish the following theorem:  $2^X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $2^{\beta X}$  if and only if  $X$  is Lindelöf. A corollary of this theorem is that  $2^X$  is realcompact if  $X$  is Lindelöf. Concerning the realcompactness of  $2^X$ , we show that the class of com-

\* This research was partially supported by a fellowship from the National Research Council of Canada.

<sup>1</sup> In this paper, the term completely regular, even when unmodified, implies Hausdorff.

pletely regular spaces  $X$  for which  $2^X$  is realcompact is closed under continuous-open-closed images. In particular, if  $2^X$  is realcompact, then every continuous-open-closed image of  $X$  is realcompact.

For the reader's convenience, we recall the definition of  $2^X$ . For a topological space  $X$ ,  $2^X$  denotes the set of all non-empty closed subsets of  $X$ . For a subset  $A$  of  $X$  we denote the set  $\{F \in 2^X : F \subseteq A\}$  by  $2^A$ . We generate a topology on  $2^X$  by taking the family

$$\{2^G : G \text{ is open in } X\} \cup \{2^X - 2^F : F \text{ is closed in } X\}$$

as a sub-basis. This topology on  $2^X$  is known as the finite topology, and  $2^X$  with this topology is referred to as the hyperspace of  $X$ . Following [4], we adapt the following notational convention. For subsets  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n$  of  $X$  we let

$$\begin{aligned} B(A_0; A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) &= 2^{A_0} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n 2^X - 2^{A_i} \\ &= \{F : F \subseteq A_0 \text{ and } F \cap A_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this notation, we see that the family of sets of the form  $B(G_0; G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n)$ , where  $G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n$  are open in  $X$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \subseteq G_0$ , forms a basis for the topology on  $2^X$ .

## 2. The $G_\delta$ -closure of $2^X$ in $2^{\beta X}$

Let  $X$  be a subspace of a space  $Y$ . We say that  $X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $Y$  if  $Y - X$  is a union of  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $Y$ . This means that given a point  $p \in Y - X$  we can find open subsets  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  of  $Y$  such that  $p \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n \subseteq Y - X$ . The following theorem is well known (see [1, 8.8]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is realcompact,
- (ii)  $X$  is  $G_\delta$  closed in  $\beta X$ ,
- (iii)  $X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in some compactification of  $X$ .

Of course a realcompact space need not be  $G_\delta$ -closed in all of its compactifications. For example, a locally compact space is  $G_\delta$ -closed in its one-point compactification if and only if it is  $\sigma$ -compact, and examples

abound of realcompact, locally compact spaces which are not  $\sigma$ -compact.

Suppose we are given a compactification  $\alpha X$  of a (completely regular Hausdorff) space  $X$ . If  $X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $\alpha X$ , we see, by 2.1, that  $X$  is realcompact. As we saw above, the  $G_\delta$ -closedness of  $X$  in  $\alpha X$  may reflect much more than the realcompactness of  $X$ , depending on the position of  $\alpha X$  in the family of all compactifications of  $X$ .

Now, let  $X$  be a normal, Hausdorff space. As was mentioned above, the mapping  $i(F) = \text{cl}_{\beta X} F$  is an embedding of  $2^X$  onto a dense subspace of  $2^{\beta X}$ , and so, in this way, we can regard  $2^{\beta X}$  as a compactification of  $2^X$ . We are concerned here with determining the topological structure of  $X$  that is equivalent to  $2^X$  being  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $2^{\beta X}$ . We begin with an elementary observation.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a subspace of  $Y$ . Then*

$$Q_Y(X) = \{p \in Y: \text{every } G_\delta\text{-set in } Y \text{ containing } p \text{ intersects } X\}.$$

*Then  $Q_Y(X)$  is the smallest subspace of  $Y$  that contains  $X$  and is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly  $X \subseteq Q_Y(X)$ . We first show that  $Q_Y(X)$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ . For, let  $p \in Y - Q_Y(X)$ . Then there is a  $G_\delta$ -set  $H$  in  $Y$  such that  $p \in H$  and  $H \cap X = \emptyset$ . Since any  $G_\delta$  containing any point of  $Q_Y(X)$  intersects  $X$ , we have  $H \cap Q_Y(X) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $Q_Y(X)$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ .

Now, let  $S$  be any subspace of  $Y$  such that  $X \subseteq S$  and  $S$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ . We show that  $Q_Y(X) \subseteq S$ . Let  $p \in Q_Y(X)$ . If  $p \notin S$ , since  $S$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $Y$ , there is a  $G_\delta$ -set  $H$  in  $Y$  such that  $p \in H$  and  $H \cap S = \emptyset$ . But since  $p \in Q_Y(X)$ ,  $H \cap X \neq \emptyset$ , and since  $X \subseteq S$ ,  $H \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $p \in S$ . Therefore  $Q_Y(X) \subseteq S$ , and so  $Q_Y(X)$  is the smallest  $G_\delta$ -closed subspace of  $Y$  which contains  $X$ .  $\square$

We will refer to  $Q_Y(X)$  in 2.2 as the  $G_\delta$ -closure of  $X$  in  $Y$ .

For a topological space  $X$ , let  $C(X)$  denote the set of continuous, real-valued functions on  $X$ . For  $f \in C(X)$  we let

$$Z(f) = \{x \in X: f(x) = 0\}.$$

$Z(f)$  is called the zero-set of  $f$ . We let

$$Z(X) = \{Z(f): f \in C(X)\}.$$

In a completely regular space, a  $G_\delta$ -set containing a given point contains

a zero-set containing the given point (see [1, 3.11]). So if  $X \subseteq Y$ , where  $Y$  is completely regular, our  $G_\delta$  conditions may be re-formulated as follows.  $X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $Y$  if every point of  $Y - X$  lies in some zero-set of  $Y$  that is disjoint from  $X$ .  $Q_Y(X)$  is the set of points  $p$  such that every zero-set in  $Y$  that contains  $p$  intersects  $X$ .

We now describe the  $G_\delta$ -closure of  $2^X$  in  $2^{\beta X}$  for a normal space  $X$ . Recall that we are identifying  $2^X$  as the subspace  $i(2^X)$  of  $2^{\beta X}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a normal, Hausdorff space. Let  $Q$  denote the  $G_\delta$ -closure of  $2^X$  in  $2^{\beta X}$ . Then*

$$Q = \{F \in 2^{\beta X} : Z \in Z(\beta X), F \subseteq Z \Rightarrow F \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z \cap X)\}.$$

**Proof.** Observe that  $i(2^X) = \{F \in 2^{\beta X} : F = \text{cl}_{\beta X}(F \cap X)\}$ . Let  $Q_1$  denote the set described in the statement of the lemma. We will show that  $2^X \subseteq Q_1 \subseteq Q$  and that  $Q_1$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $2^{\beta X}$ , from which the assertion follows. Since  $F \in 2^X$  is equivalent to  $F = \text{cl}_{\beta X}(F \cap X)$ , clearly  $2^X \subseteq Q_1$ . We now show that  $Q_1 \subseteq Q$ . So let  $F \in Q_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be any  $G_\delta$ -set in  $2^{\beta X}$  containing  $F$ . Write  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_n$ , with  $\mathcal{G}_n$  open in  $2^{\beta X}$  for each  $n$ . For each  $n$  we can find open sets  $G_{0,n}, G_{1,n}, \dots, G_{K_n,n}$  in  $\beta X$  with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{K_n} G_{i,n} \subseteq G_{0,n}$  and  $F \in B(G_{0,n}; G_{1,n}, \dots, G_{K_n,n}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_n$ . For each  $n$  find a zero-set  $Z_n$  in  $\beta X$  such that  $F \subseteq Z_n \subseteq G_{0,n}$ . Now  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n = Z$  is a zero-set in  $\beta X$  and  $F \subseteq Z$ . Since  $F \in Q_1$ , we have  $F \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z \cap X)$ . But  $\text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z \cap X) \in 2^X \cap \mathcal{A}$ , and so every  $G_\delta$ -set in  $2^{\beta X}$  containing  $F$  meets  $2^X$ . Therefore  $F \in Q$ , and so  $Q_1 \subseteq Q$ . We complete the proof by showing that  $Q_1$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $2^{\beta X}$ . Let  $F \in 2^{\beta X} - Q_1$ . Then there is a zero-set  $Z$  in  $\beta X$  such that  $F \subseteq Z$  but  $F \not\subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z \cap X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = B(Z; \beta X - \text{cl}_{\beta X}(Z \cap X))$ . Then clearly  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $G_\delta$  in  $2^{\beta X}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{A}$ . Obviously  $\mathcal{A} \cap Q_1 = \emptyset$ . Thus  $Q_1$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $2^{\beta X}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a normal, Hausdorff space. The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $2^X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $2^{\beta X}$ ;
- (ii)  $X$  is Lindelöf.

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that  $2^X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $2^{\beta X}$ . In the notation of the preceding lemma, this means that  $2^X = Q_1$ . We claim that  $X$  is Lindelöf. For the sake of contradiction, assume  $X$  is not Lindelöf. Then, there is a family  $\mathcal{D}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  with the countable intersection

property such that  $\bigcap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  be the family of countable intersections of members of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is closed under countable intersection, and  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{D}_1} A = \emptyset$ . Let  $R = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{D}_1} \text{cl}_{\beta X} A$ . Then, since  $R \cap X = \emptyset$ , we have  $R \in 2^{\beta X} - 2^X$ . Let  $Z$  be any zero-set in  $\beta X$  containing  $R$ . Write  $Z = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$ , where each  $G_n$  is open in  $\beta X$ . Now, for each  $n$ ,  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{D}_1} \text{cl}_{\beta X} A \subseteq G_n$ , and so, by compactness, there is, for each  $n$ , a finite subset  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  so that  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}_n} \text{cl}_{\beta X} A \subseteq G_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a countable subset of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \text{cl}_{\beta X} A \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n = Z$ . But  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A \in \mathcal{D}_1$  and so

$$R \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} (\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \text{cl}_{\beta X} A \subseteq Z.$$

It follows easily that  $R \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} (Z \cap X)$ . We have thus shown that, for  $Z \in Z(\beta X)$ ,

$$R \subseteq Z \Rightarrow R \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} (Z \cap X).$$

This means that  $R \in Q_1$ . But this is nonsense, since  $R \notin 2^X = Q_1$ . This shows that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume  $X$  is Lindelöf. We show that  $2^X = Q_1$ , for which it suffices to show  $Q_1 \subseteq 2^X$ . So let  $F \in Q_1$ . Then

$$F = \bigcap \{ \text{cl}_{\beta X} (Z \cap X) : Z \in Z(\beta X), F \subseteq Z \}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{ Z \in Z(\beta X) : F \subseteq Z \}$ . We claim that  $F = \text{cl}_{\beta X} [\bigcap_{Z \in \mathcal{R}} (Z \cap X)]$ . If possible, let  $p \in F - \text{cl}_{\beta X} [\bigcap_{Z \in \mathcal{R}} (Z \cap X)]$ . Find a closed neighborhood  $N$  of  $p$  in  $\beta X$  such that  $N \cap [\bigcap_{Z \in \mathcal{R}} (Z \cap X)] = \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is Lindelöf, there is a sequence  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  from  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $N \cap [\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (Z_i \cap X)] = \emptyset$ . But  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Z_i \in \mathcal{R}$ , and so  $F \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} [\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (Z_i \cap X)]$ . But this implies  $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta X} [\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (Z_i \cap X)]$ , and so  $N \cap [\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (Z_i \cap X)] \neq \emptyset$ . This contradiction proves that  $F \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta X} [\bigcap_{Z \in \mathcal{R}} (Z \cap X)]$ . Since the reverse inclusion holds trivially, we conclude that  $F = \text{cl}_{\beta X} [\bigcap_{Z \in \mathcal{R}} (Z \cap X)]$ . Thus  $F \in 2^X$ , and so  $Q_1 \subseteq 2^X$ . Therefore  $2^X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed in  $2^{\beta X}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.5.** It should be observed that the results of 2.3 and 2.4 carry over to higher cardinals. Calling a set a  $G_m$ -set if it is the intersection of  $m$  open sets ( $m$  denotes an infinite cardinal) and recalling that a space is  $m$ -Lindelöf if each of its open covers has a subcover of  $\leq m$  sets, we see that, with obvious modifications, 2.3 and 2.4 hold with  $G_\delta$  replaced by  $G_m$ , and Lindelöf replaced by  $m$ -Lindelöf.

### 3. Some remarks on the realcompactness of $2^X$

By 2.4 and 2.1 it follows that if  $X$  is Lindelöf, then  $2^X$  is realcompact. Indeed, if  $X$  is Lindelöf, then  $2^{gX}$  is a compactification of  $2^X$  in which  $2^X$  is  $G_\delta$ -closed. We now give a direct proof of this result. Recall that a completely regular space  $Y$  is realcompact if and only if every  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $Y$  with the countable intersection property is fixed (see [1]).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be Lindelöf and completely regular. Then  $2^X$  is realcompact.*

**Proof.** Since a Lindelöf, completely regular space is normal, we conclude by [6, 4.9] that  $2^X$  is completely regular (and Hausdorff) when  $X$  is completely regular and Lindelöf. We use the above characterization of realcompactness. So let  $\theta$  be a  $Z$ -ultrafilter on  $2^X$  with the countable intersection property, with  $X$  assumed to be Lindelöf. We define two families of sets as follows. We set

$$\alpha = \{A \in 2^X : \text{there exists } \mathfrak{B} \in \theta \text{ such that } \mathfrak{B} \subseteq 2^A\},$$

$$\beta = \{A \in 2^X : \text{there exists } \mathfrak{B} \in \theta \text{ such that } \mathfrak{B} \subseteq B(X; A)\}.$$

For  $A \in \beta$ , we define  $\mathcal{G}_A = \{F \cap A : F \in \alpha\}$ . We claim that for each  $A \in \beta$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_A$  has the countable intersection property. Let  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots\} \subseteq \alpha$ , and let  $A \in \beta$ . Then, for each  $n$ , there is a set  $\mathfrak{B}_n \in \theta$  with  $\mathfrak{B}_n \subseteq 2^{F_n}$ , and there is a set  $\mathfrak{B} \in \theta$  with  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq B(X; A)$ . Since  $\theta$  has the countable intersection property, we have

$$\emptyset \neq \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{B}_n \right) \cap \mathfrak{B} \subseteq \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{F_n} \right) \cap B(X; A).$$

Any element in the latter intersection is contained in  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$  and meets  $A$ . So, in particular,  $(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, each  $\mathcal{G}_A$  has the countable intersection property. Since  $X$  is Lindelöf, there is, for each  $A \in \beta$ , a point  $p_A \in \bigcap \mathcal{G}_A$ . Let  $L = \text{cl}_X \{p_A : A \in \beta\}$ . We now show that  $L \in \bigcap \theta$ , whence  $\theta$  is fixed, and so  $2^X$  is realcompact. We assume  $L \notin \bigcap \theta$  and we will derive a contradiction. If  $L \notin \bigcap \theta$ , then there is a set  $\mathfrak{B} \in \theta$  such that  $L \notin \mathfrak{B}$ . Now, since  $X$  is normal, it is easy to see that the sets of the form  $B(X; Z_0) \cup 2^{Z_1} \cup \dots \cup 2^{Z_n}$ , where  $Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  are zero-sets in  $X$ , form a base for the closed sets in  $2^X$ . Now  $\mathfrak{B} \in \theta$  and so  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a zero-set in  $2^X$ , and is, in particular, closed. Since  $L \notin \mathfrak{B}$ , we can find zero-sets  $Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  in  $X$  such that  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq B(X; Z_0) \cup 2^{Z_1} \cup \dots \cup 2^{Z_n}$ , and  $L \notin B(X; Z_0) \cup 2^{Z_1} \cup \dots \cup 2^{Z_n}$ . Now, if  $Z$  is a zero-set in  $X$ , then  $2^Z$  is a zero-set in  $2^X$  (see [2]).

We cannot have any  $2^{Z_i} \in \theta$ , because this would put  $Z_i$  in  $\alpha$  and would imply  $L \subseteq Z_i$ , or equivalently  $L \in 2^{Z_i}$ , by the construction of  $L$ . So, since  $\theta$  is a  $Z$ -ultrafilter, there is, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , a zero-set  $\mathcal{B}_i$  in  $\theta$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_i \cap 2^{Z_i} = \emptyset$ . Letting  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}_i$ , we have  $\mathcal{C} \in \theta$  and  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X; Z_0)$ . This implies  $Z_0 \in \beta$ , and so  $p_{Z_0} \in Z_0 \cap L$ . But  $L \notin \mathcal{B}(X; Z_0)$ , so that  $L \cap Z_0 = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. We conclude that  $L \in \bigcap \theta$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** In [7], the realcompactness of  $2^X$  is approached by uniformities, and 3.1 can be deduced as a corollary of results proved therein.

It does not seem to be known whether  $2^X$  is realcompact whenever  $X$  is. Of course, if  $2^X$  is realcompact, then  $X$  is, since (for Hausdorff  $X$ ) the singletons in  $2^X$  form a closed subspace homeomorphic to  $X$ . We have to be slightly careful in discussing the realcompactness of  $2^X$ ; since  $2^X$  is completely regular only when  $X$  is normal. If we use the definition of realcompactness in [5], which applies in the non-completely regular setting, we can then meaningfully ask whether  $2^X$  is realcompact when  $X$  is completely regular and realcompact.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a closed hereditary topological property. Let  $X$  be a regular, Hausdorff space such that  $2^X \in \mathcal{P}$ . If  $Y$  is a continuous-open-closed image of  $X$ , then  $2^Y \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be regular and Hausdorff, with  $2^X \in \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous, open, and closed surjection. Define  $F : 2^X \rightarrow 2^Y$  by  $F(A) = f(A)$ , and define  $G : 2^Y \rightarrow 2^X$  by  $G(B) = f^{-1}(B)$ . By [6, 5.10.1, 5.10.2],  $F$  and  $G$  are continuous. Let  $\mathcal{Y} = G(2^Y)$ . Then  $F|_{\mathcal{Y}}$  and  $G$  are mutually inverse homeomorphisms between  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $2^Y$ , and  $G \circ F$  is a retraction of  $2^X$  onto  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Now, since  $X$  is regular and Hausdorff,  $2^X$  is Hausdorff (see [6, 4.9]). As a retract of  $2^X$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}$  is therefore closed in  $2^X$ . Thus  $2^Y$  is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of  $2^X$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is closed hereditary,  $2^Y \in \mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$

In particular, 3.3 is valid for the property realcompactness, so we can deduce the following. Let  $X$  be completely regular. If  $2^X$  is realcompact, then  $X$  is realcompact and every continuous-open-closed completely regular image of  $X$  is realcompact. It does not seem to be known whether realcompactness is preserved under continuous-open-closed images. A counterexample would provide an example of a realcompact space whose hyperspace is not realcompact.

## References

- [1] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960).
- [2] J. Ginsburg, On the Stone-Čech compactification of the space of closed sets, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 215 (1976) 301–311.
- [3] J. Keesling, Normality and properties related to compactness in hyperspaces, *Proc. Am. Soc.* 24 (1970) 760–766.
- [4] K. Kuratowski, *Topology I* (Academic Press, New York, 1966) 160–187.
- [5] M. Mandelker, Supports of continuous functions, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 156 (1971) 73–83.
- [6] E. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 7 (1951) 152–183.
- [7] P. Zenor, On the near completeness and the realcompactness of the space of closed subsets, to appear.